IN THE CLAIMS

Please amend the claims as follows:

1. (Currently Amended)   A method for providing support when selecting a training program as part of therapy planning, comprising:

providing a capability profile for a patient;

providing a first database~~, said first database~~ containing a plurality of past comparative capability profiles for comparative patients and an allocation of training programs respectively prescribed based on the comparative capability profiles~~;, wherein a data processing station~~ automatically ~~compares~~ comparing the patient's capability profile with the comparative capability profiles~~;~~ ~~and~~

~~selects~~ selecting ~~and displays~~ from the first database at least one ~~suitable~~ training program underlined associated with the past ~~for which the associated~~ comparative capability profile that matches the patient's capability profile to a prescribable degree of similarity, wherein said at least one training program includes associated measured values for the success of treatment in the respective comparative patient; and

displaying data representative of said at least one training program together with the associated measured value for the success of treatment.

2. (Cancelled)

3. (Currently Amended)   The method as claimed in claim ~~2~~ 1, wherein data representative of a plurality of training programs are displayed in an order of the size of the associated measured values for the success of treatment.

4. (Currently Amended)   The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein data representative of a plurality of training programs are displayed in an order of the degree of similarity of the match between the associated comparative capability profiles and the patient's capability profile.

5. (Currently Amended)   The method as claimed in claim 2 1, wherein data representative of only the at least one training program, having at least one of the highest measured value for the success of treatment and a measured value for the success of treatment which is above a prescribable threshold value is displayed.

6. (Currently Amended)   The method as claimed in claim 2 1, wherein, based on the match between the comparative capability profiles and the patient's capability profile and the measured values for the success of treatment on the respectively prescribed training programs, the data processing station uses prescribable selection rules are used to propose a suitable training program which promises an optimum training result for the patient.

7. (Currently Amended)   The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein data representative of at least one of proposed and displayed training programs are modifiable by a user.

8. (Currently Amended)   The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the selection of the at least one training program by the data processing station automatically involves a match between further patient data for the patient and patient data for the comparative patients, which are additionally contained in the first database, being checked and taken into account.

9. (Currently Amended)   The method as claimed in claim 8, wherein the patient's further patient data are at least one of input and retrieved by the data processing station from an electronic patient record.

10. (Currently Amended)   The method as claimed in claim 2 1, further comprising: wherein repeatedly providing a current capability profile for the patient is repeatedly provided in the course of therapy; and , the data processing station automatically compares comparing the patient's current capability profile with the comparative capability profiles and selects selecting at least one further training program programs, and displays them displaying data representative of said at least one further

training program ~~possibly~~ together with the associated measured value for the success of treatment, for which the associated comparative capability profile matches the patient's current capability profile to a prescribable degree of similarity, in order to at least one of replace and modify a training program already prescribed for the patient with a further training program~~, if appropriate~~.

11. (Currently Amended)   The method as claimed in claim 10, wherein a third database is provided which contains transfer measures between different training programs, and in the event of the prescribed training program being replaced with a further training program, ~~the data processing station~~ automatically retrieves and outputs <u>data representative of</u> transfer measures for this further training program by reverting to the third database.

12. (Original) The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the first database contains anonymized data.

13. (Currently Amended)   A system for providing support when selecting a training program as part of therapy planning, comprising:

a data processing station, coupled to a first database containing a plurality of past comparative capability profiles for comparative patients with an allocation of training programs respectively prescribed on the basis of these comparative capability profiles; and

a module for automatically comparing a capability profile for the patient with the comparative capability profiles and for selecting ~~and displaying~~ from the first database, at least one training program ~~for which the~~ associated <u>with the past</u> comparative capability profile <u>that</u> matches the patient's capability profile to a prescribable degree of similarity<u>, wherein said at least one training program includes associated measured values for the success of treatment in the respective comparative patient and said module displays data representative of said at least one training program together with the associated measured value for the success of treatment</u>.

14. (Original) The system as claimed in claim 13, wherein the data processing station is coupled to a second database, from which the patient's capability profile can be retrievable.

15. (Cancelled)

16. (Currently Amended) The system as claimed in claim ~~15~~13, wherein the module is designed to display data representative of a plurality of training programs in the order of size of the associated measured values for the success of treatment.

17. (Currently Amended) The system as claimed in claim 13, wherein the module is designed to display data representative of a plurality of training programs in the order of the degree of similarity of the match between the associated comparative profiles and the patient's capability profile.

18. (Currently Amended)   The system as claimed in claim ~~15~~13, wherein the module is designed to display data representative of only the at least one training program including at least one of a highest measured value for the success of treatment and a measured value for the success of treatment which is above a prescribable threshold value.

19. (Currently Amended)   The system as claimed in claim ~~15~~13, wherein the module is designed to output data representative of a proposal for a suitable training program on the basis of the match between the comparative capability profiles and the patient's capability profile and the measured values for the success of treatment on the respectively prescribed training programs using prescribable selection rules.

20. (Original) The system as claimed in one of claim 13, wherein the module provides the user with an opportunity to modify at least one of the proposed and displayed training programs.

21. (Original) The system as claimed in claim 13, wherein the module is designed for automatically checking and taking into account a match between further patient data for the patient and patient data for the comparative patients, which are additionally contained in the first database.

22. (Original) The system as claimed in claim 21, wherein the data processing station includes access to an electronic patient record for the patient, from which the patient's further patient data is retrievable by the module.

23. (Currently Amended)   The system as claimed in claim 13, wherein the module is designed for repeatedly retrieving the patient's capability profile in the course of therapy, for automatically comparing it with the comparative capability profiles in the first database, and for selecting and displaying at least one training program from the first database in order to propose at least one of a different and modified training program, if appropriate, in the event of any deviation from a previously prescribed training program.

24. (Original) The system as claimed in claim 23, wherein the data processing station is coupled to a third database containing transfer measures between different training programs, and wherein the module is designed so that, in the event of a different training program being proposed, it outputs transfer measures for this different training program by reverting to the third database.

25. (Original) The system as claimed in one of claim 13, wherein the first database contains anonymized data.

26. (Currently Amended) A method for providing support when selecting a training program as part of therapy planning, comprising:

    providing a capability profile for a patient~~;~~;

    providing a first database ~~wherein a first database includes~~ <u>including</u> a plurality of past comparative capability profiles for comparative patients and an allocation of training programs respectively prescribed based on the comparative capability profiles;

    <u>automatically</u> comparing the patient's capability profile with the comparative capability profiles; and

    displaying, from the first database, <u>data representative of</u> at least one training program associated with ~~a~~ <u>the past</u> comparative capability profile resembling the patient's capability profile<u>, wherein said at least one training program includes associated measured values for the success of treatment in the respective comparative patient and the associated measured values for the success of treatment are displayed together with data representative of the at least one training program</u>.

27. (Original) The method of claim 26, wherein the step of displaying includes matching the patient's capability profile with a comparative capability profile to a prescribable degree of similarity.

28. (Previously Presented) The method as claimed in claim 26, wherein the steps of comparing and displaying are performed automatically at a data processing station.

29. (Cancelled)

30. (Currently Amended) The method as claimed in claim ~~29~~ <u>26</u>, wherein <u>data representative of</u> a plurality of training programs are displayed in an order of size of the associated measured values for the success of treatment.

31. (Currently Amended) The Method as claimed in claim <u>2</u>1, wherein <u>data representative of</u> a plurality of training programs are displayed in an order of degree of

similarity of the match between the associated comparative capability profiles and the patient's capability profile.

32.   (Cancelled)

33.   (Currently Amended)   The method as claimed 32, wherein <u>data representative of</u> a plurality of training programs are displayed in an order of size of the associated measured values for the success of treatment.

34.   (Currently Amended)   The Method as claimed in claim 32, wherein <u>data representative of</u> a plurality of training programs are displayed in an order of degree of similarity of the match between the associated comparative capability profiles and the patient's capability profile.

35.   (Cancelled)

36.   (Currently Amended)   A module of a system for providing support when selecting a training program as part of therapy planning, the system including a data processing station, coupled to a first database containing a plurality of past comparative capability profiles for comparative patients with an allocation of training programs respectively prescribed based on the comparative capability profiles, the module comprising:

    means for automatically comparing a capability profile for the patient with <u>past</u> comparative capability profiles; and

    means for displaying, from the first database, <u>data representative of</u> at least one training program ~~for which the~~ associated <u>with the past</u> comparative capability profile <u>that</u> matches the patient's capability profile to a prescribable degree of similarity <u>and a measured value for the success of treatment associated with said data representative of at least one training program</u>.

37.   (Currently Amended)   A device of a system for providing support when selecting a training program as part of therapy planning, comprising:

a data processing station, coupled to a first database containing a plurality of past comparative capability profiles for comparative patients ~~with~~ and an allocation of training program respectively prescribed based on the comparative capability profiles; and,

~~wherein~~ a module of the system for automatically ~~compares~~ comparing a capability profile for a patient with the past comparative capability profiles and ~~selects~~ selecting ~~and displays,~~ from the first database, at least one training program ~~for which the~~ associated with the past comparative capability profile that matches the patient's capability profile to a prescribable degree of similarity; and displaying data representative of a measured value for the success of treatment associated with said at least one training program together with data representative of said at least one training program.